United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,718	01/23/2004	Bryan Nathan Wilmoth	M005Z/281291	7327
23370 JOHN S. PRAT	7590 06/08/2007		EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP	KIM, SANG K		
1100 PEACHT ATLANTA, G	····-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/763,7	′18	WILMOTH ET AL	,			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
	•	SANG KI	М	3654				
The MAILIN	G DATE of this communic	ation appears on th	e cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	Idress			
Period for Reply								
WHICHEVER IS Let Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f If NO period for reply is Failure to reply within th Any reply received by the second seco	TATUTORY PERIOD FC ONGER, FROM THE MA be available under the provisions o orm the mailing date of this commu specified above, the maximum stati e set or extended period for reply w e Office later than three months aft stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and v rill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MONI plication to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control o				
Status					•			
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed	I on <i>26 April 2007</i> .	,					
2a) ☐ This action is		b)⊠ This action is	non-final.	• • • •				
<i>,</i> —								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cordance with the practic	•						
Disposition of Claims				•				
Disposition of Claims			•		•			
•	<u>0</u> is/are pending in the ap							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· = · · · -	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are allowed.							
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are objected to.							
·	<u>o</u> is/are objected to: are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement	•				
o)			, oquii omonii					
Application Papers	•				·			
9) The specifica	tion is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.				
• • • • • •	not request that any object	= : :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or d	eclaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action or form P	FO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119							
· - ·	nent is made of a claim fo Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	ed copies of the priority o	locuments have be	en received.					
2.☐ Certific	ed copies of the priority of	locuments have be	en received in A	pplication No				
3.☐ Copie:	s of the certified copies o	f the priority docum	ents have been	received in this National	Stage			
applica	ation from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).					
* See the attach	ned detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	tified copies not	received.				
	·							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References	•			ummary (PTO-413)				
·	n's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application	•			
3) Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· ·	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Objections

Claims 2-3 and 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, lines 1-2, "a drive shaft" should be -the drive shaft--.

In claim 3, line 2, "a hand crank" should be -the hand crank--.

In claim 6, line 20, "the electric motor" should be -an electric motor--.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez, U.S. Patent No. 4659029, in view of Lai, U.S. Patent No. 6182917 B1.

Regarding claims 1 and 3-7, Rodriguez '029 teaches a system for cutting a traveling web from a first spool and onto a second spool by feeding a turn-up tape (24) along a transfer track (28) beneath the paper web (20) and to a position adjacent to the nip (52); and a drive assembly (43, i.e., a hand crank) capable of driving the turn-up tape along the transfer track toward the nip, wherein the drive is capable of being powered by manual power or motor power, see figure 1, and on column 8, lines 59-61.

Rodriguez '029 does not explicitly state that the drive is capable of being powered by both manual power and motor power.

Art Unit: 3654

Lai discloses the concept of switch the drive into a motor powered drive option 21 and a manual drive option 30 such that a user may switch between motor power and manual power as necessary, see, see figures 4A and 5A, and column 2, lines 17-59.

Examiner is taking an official notice that a clutch in general is well known in power transmission and as explained in the applicant's remarks on 9/18/06, on page 1, the last paragraph, "such clutches are widely used throughout the machine industry, and one of ordinary skill in the art would understand how a set of directional clutches works." On page 2, the last paragraph, "applicant has taken known directional clutches and used them in a system." It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Rodriguez to make the drive capable of being powered by either manual or motor so that the user may switch between motor power and manual power as necessary as taught by Lai '917, and to use well known clutches to separately connect or disconnect the drive from the motor or hand crank since only one needs to be used at any one time, in case one of the drive systems fails.

Regarding claim 2, as advanced above, Rodriguez '029 teaches the drive assembly with a drive shaft (108) coupled to a drive wheel (41), the drive assembly with an engaging wheel (55) engaging the turn-up tape between the drive wheel (41), see figure 2.

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez, U.S. Patent No. 4659029, in view of Lai, U.S. Patent No. 6182917 B1as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rodriguez, U.S. Patent No. 6305634 B1.

Regarding claims 11-12, as advanced above, Rodriguez '634 shows an air supply system which controls the air pressure, see figure 5.

Rodriguez '029 and '634 disclose the claimed invention except for an automation mode with a computer for the system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus by adding a computer into an automation process since computers are well known and used throughout the industry.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4 and 6 have been amended

Claims 13-20 are allowed as indicated from the Previous Office Action.

Claims 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 1, filed on 4/26/07, with respect to claims 1, 4 and 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive with clarification that drive is not

Art Unit: 3654

powered by both motor power and manual power at the same time or simultaneously. The rejection of 112 1st Paragraph has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see page 1, filed 4/26/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 4 and 6 under 112 1st Paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn with clarification that drive is not powered by both motor power and manual power at the same time or simultaneously. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rodriguez, U.S. Patent No. 4659029, in view of Lai, U.S. Patent No. 6182917 B1, and further in view of Rodriguez, U.S. Patent No. 6305634 B1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. alternating Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford, can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3654

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK ·

5/30/07

Page 6